On uniqueness of static black hole with conformal scalar hair

[1]Yoshimune Tomikawa, [2,3]Tetsuya Shiromizu and [3,2]Keisuke Izumi
[1]*School of Informatics and Sciences, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan*
[2]*Department of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan and*
[3]*Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*

We discuss the uniqueness of the static black hole in the Einstein gravity with a conformally coupled scalar field. In particular, we prove the uniqueness of the region outside of the photon surface, not event horizon.

arXiv:1612.01228v3 [gr-qc] 23 Feb 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that static black holes do not have the scalar hair with non-negative potential in asymptotically flat spacetimes [1] (see Ref. [2] for a review). However, there is an exception, that is, we can have the Bocharova-Bronnikov-Melnikov-Bekenstein (BBMB) solution [3, 4]. This is because this solution does not satisfy the regularity condition for the scalar field at the event horizon.

In this paper, we shall address the uniqueness issue of the BBMB black hole solution. In particular, we will examine if the static black hole spacetime with conformal scalar hair is spherically symmetric as the Schwarzschild spacetime [5]. As a result, we could prove the uniqueness of the photon surface of the BBMB solution, that is, the outside region of the photon surface is unique to be the BBMB solution in the Einstein gravity with a conformally coupled scalar field. In the BBMB solution, the photon surface corresponds to the unstable circular orbit of null geodesics (see Ref. [6] for the definition of the photon surface). In the Einstein frame, the system is reduced to the Einstein-massless scalar field system. In this system, the uniqueness of the outiside region of the photon surface has been proven in Ref. [7]. However, the proof in Ref. [7] cannot be applied to the current situation. This is because the photon surface of the BBMB black hole in the Jordan frame is singular in the Einstein frame.

Note that it will be difficult to prove the black hole uniqueness for cases except for vacuum/electrovacuum or well-motivated systems by string theory or so [8]. In this sense, the current result may encourage us to try to prove the uniqueness of static black holes with a hair although we know that the BBMB black hole itself is not stable [2].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we briefly review the BBMB black hole. In Sec. 3, we describe the basic equations for static spacetimes in the Einstein gravity with the conformally coupled scalar field. In Sec. 4, we show that the certain relation between the scalar field and the time lapse function holds once the scalar field is turned on. Then, in Sec. 5, we will present the proof of the uniqueness of the photon surface in the current system. Finally, we will give the summary and discussion in Sec. 6.

II. BBMB BLACK HOLE

Let us consider the Einstein equation with the conformally coupled scalar field [3, 4],

$$S=\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}R-\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big(\frac{1}{2}(\nabla\phi)^2+\frac{1}{12}R\phi^2\Big), \tag{1}$$

where ϕ is the scalar field and R is the Ricci scalar. The field equations are

$$G_{\mu\nu}=\kappa T_{\mu\nu} \tag{2}$$

and

$$\nabla^2\phi=\frac{1}{6}R\phi, \tag{3}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor and

$$T_{\mu\nu}=\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(\nabla\phi)^2+\frac{1}{6}(g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^2-\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu+G_{\mu\nu})\phi^2. \tag{4}$$

The trace for Eq. (2) and Eq. (3) show us

$$R=0, \tag{5}$$

and

$$\nabla^2\phi = 0. \tag{6}$$

For the current purpose, it is better to rearrange the Einstein equation as

$$\left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{6}\phi^2\right)R_{\mu\nu} = \kappa S_{\mu\nu}, \tag{7}$$

where

$$S_{\mu\nu} := \frac{2}{3}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi - \frac{1}{6}g_{\mu\nu}(\nabla\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{3}\phi\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi. \tag{8}$$

From this, we can see that one needs a careful treatment at $\phi = \pm\sqrt{6/\kappa} =: \phi_p$ to have the regular spacetime.

The metric of the BBMB black hole is given by [3, 4]

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + f^{-1}(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2, \tag{9}$$

where $f(r) = (1 - m/r)^2$, m is the mass of black hole and $d\Omega_2^2$ is the metric of the unit 2-sphere. The configuration of the scalar field is

$$\phi = \pm\sqrt{\frac{6}{\kappa}}\frac{m}{r-m}. \tag{10}$$

The metric itself is exactly the same with the extreme Reissner-Nordström black hole spacetime. The event horizon is located at $r = m$ and the scalar field diverges at there. Here we note that the factor $1 - \kappa\phi^2/6$ in the left-hand side of Eq. (7) vanishes at $r = 2m$ where there is the unstable circular orbit of null geodesics.

III. BASIC EQUATIONS IN STATIC SPACETIMES

Let us focus on static spacetimes from now on. Then the metric is written as

$$ds^2 = -V^2(x^k)dt^2 + g_{ij}(x^k)dx^i dx^j. \tag{11}$$

The Latin indices indicate the spatial components. The locus of the event horizon is $V = 0$ and we assume that the spacetime is regular in the outside of the event horizon, that is, the domain of the outer communication.

In static spacetimes, the non-trivial parts of the Ricci tensor are

$$R_{00} = VD^2V \tag{12}$$

and

$$R_{ij} = {}^{(3)}R_{ij} - V^{-1}D_iD_jV, \tag{13}$$

where D_i and ${}^{(3)}R_{ij}$ are the covariant derivative and the Ricci tensor on the t =constant hypersurface Σ, respectively. $S_{\mu\nu}$ defined in Eq. (8) is decomposed into

$$S_{00} = \frac{1}{6}[V^2(D\phi)^2 + 2\phi VD^iVD_i\phi], \tag{14}$$

$$S_{ij} = \frac{2}{3}D_i\phi D_j\phi - \frac{1}{6}g_{ij}(D\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{3}\phi D_iD_j\phi. \tag{15}$$

The equation for the scalar field (6) becomes

$$D_i(VD^i\phi) = 0. \tag{16}$$

Since we focus on asymptotically flat cases, the asymptotic behaviors of metric are given by

$$V = 1 - m/r + O(1/r^2) \tag{17}$$

and

$$g_{ij} = (1 + 2m/r)\delta_{ij} + O(1/r^2). \tag{18}$$

For the scalar field, we impose

$$\phi = O(1/r). \tag{19}$$

From Eqs. (5), (12) and (13), we can see

$${}^{(3)}R = 2V^{-1}D^2V. \tag{20}$$

IV. SCALAR FIELD AND TIME LAPSE FUNCTION

In this section, we will show that the scalar field ϕ is written by the time lapse function V uniquely if there is non-trivial scalar field exists in static spacetimes.

From the $(0,0)$-component of the Einstein equation and Eq. (16), we have

$$D_i[(1-\varphi)D^i\Phi] = 0, \tag{21}$$

where $\Phi := (1+\varphi)V$ and $\varphi := \pm\sqrt{\kappa/6}\phi$. Now, in Σ, we focus on the region Ω which has the two boundaries; the surface S_p specified by $\phi = \phi_p$ and the 2-sphere S_∞ at the spatial infinity. In Ω, we assume that there are no event horizons, that is, V is strictly positive ($V > 0$). Since φ or ϕ follows Eq. (16), φ is a monotonic function which has the maximum value 1 at S_p and minimum value 0 at the spatial infinity, that is, $0 \le \varphi \le 1$ in Ω.

Let us consider the conformally transformed space $\tilde{\Omega}(\subset \tilde{\Sigma})$ with the metric $\tilde{g}_{ij} = (1-\varphi)^2 g_{ij}$. Then Eq. (21) becomes

$$\tilde{D}^2\Phi = 0. \tag{22}$$

The volume integration of the above over $\tilde{\Omega}$ and the Gauss theorem give us

$$0 = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \tilde{D}^2\Phi d\tilde{\Sigma} = \int_{\tilde{S}_\infty} \tilde{D}_i\Phi d\tilde{S}^i - \int_{\tilde{S}_p} \tilde{D}_i\Phi d\tilde{S}^i. \tag{23}$$

We can show that the second term of the right-hand side vanishes as

$$\int_{\tilde{S}_p} \tilde{D}_i\Phi d\tilde{S}^i = \int_{S_p} (1-\varphi)D_i\Phi dS^i = 0. \tag{24}$$

For the last equality in the above, we used the fact of $\varphi|_{S_p} = 1$. Then, Eq. (23) tells us

$$\int_{\tilde{S}_\infty} \tilde{D}_i\Phi d\tilde{S}^i = 0. \tag{25}$$

Next we consider the volume integration of $\Phi\tilde{D}^2\Phi = 0$ over $\tilde{\Omega}$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \Phi\tilde{D}^2\Phi d\tilde{\Sigma} \\ &= -\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} (\tilde{D}\Phi)^2 d\tilde{\Sigma} + \int_{\tilde{S}_\infty} \Phi\tilde{D}_i\Phi d\tilde{S}^i - \int_{\tilde{S}_p} \Phi\tilde{D}_i\Phi d\tilde{S}^i. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

In the second equality, we used the Gauss theorem. As Eq. (24), it is easy to show that the last term vanishes. Using Eq. (25) and the fact of $\Phi_\infty = 1$, we can see

$$\int_{\tilde{S}_\infty} \Phi\tilde{D}_i\Phi d\tilde{S}^i = \int_{\tilde{S}_\infty} \tilde{D}_i\Phi d\tilde{S}^i = 0. \tag{27}$$

Thus, Eq. (26) implies

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} (\tilde{D}\Phi)^2 d\tilde{\Sigma} = \int_{\Omega} (D\Phi)^2(1-\varphi)d\Sigma = 0. \tag{28}$$

Therefore, $D_i\Phi = 0$ has to be satisfied everywhere on Ω. Together with the boundary condition at the spatial infinity ($\Phi_\infty = 1$), this means that $\Phi = 1$ holds everywhere. Thus we have the following relation between the time lapse function V and the scalar field ϕ;

$$\phi = \pm\sqrt{\frac{6}{\kappa}}(V^{-1} - 1). \tag{29}$$

Of course, the BBMB solution satisfies this relation. Note that $\phi = \phi_p$ corresponds to $V = 1/2 =: V_p$ and the $V = V_p$ surface in the BBMB solution is composed of the closed circular orbit of photon (null geodesics).

Now, both Eq. (16) and the $(0,0)$-component of the Einstein equation give us the same equation

$$D^2 v = 0, \tag{30}$$

where $v := \ln V$. Then, we can use v as a "radial" coordinate later.

The (i,j)-component of the Einstein equation becomes

$$\frac{2V-1}{V^2}\Big({}^{(3)}R_{ij} - \frac{1}{V}D_iD_jV\Big) = 4\frac{D_iVD_jV}{V^4} - g_{ij}\frac{(DV)^2}{V^4} - 2\Big(\frac{1}{V}-1\Big)D_iD_jV^{-1}. \tag{31}$$

The trace part of the above and Eq. (30) (or Eqs. (20) and (30)) imply

$${}^{(3)}R = \frac{2}{V^2}(DV)^2 \geq 0. \tag{32}$$

V. UNIQUENESS OF THE BBMB PHOTON SURFACE

In this section, we will employ Israel's way to address the uniqueness of the BBMB black hole.

First, we consider the foliation by v =constant 2-surfaces $\{S_v\}$ on t =constant hypersurfaces Σ. We write the induced metric on 2-surfaces as $h_{ij} := g_{ij} - n_in_j$, where $n_i := \rho D_i v$ is the unit normal vector on the surface and $\rho := (D_ivD^iv)^{-1/2} = V(D_iVD^iV)^{-1/2}$. Then we can derive the following equations;

$$D_i\Big(\frac{n^i}{\rho}\Big) = 0, \tag{33}$$

$$D_i\Big(\frac{(\rho k-2)n^i}{(2V-1)\rho^{3/2}}\Big) = -\frac{1}{2V-1}(\rho^{-1/2}\tilde{k}_{ij}\tilde{k}^{ij} + \rho^{-3/2}\mathcal{D}^2\rho) \tag{34}$$

and

$$D_i\Big((k\xi+\eta)n^i\Big) = -(\tilde{k}_{ij}\tilde{k}^{ij} + \rho^{-1}\mathcal{D}^2\rho)\xi, \tag{35}$$

where $\xi := (2V-1)\rho^{-1/2}$, $\eta := 2(2V+1)\rho^{-3/2}$, k_{ij} is the extrinsic curvature of S_v in Σ, k is its trace part and $\tilde{k}_{ij} := k_{ij} - (1/2)kh_{ij}$ is its traceless part. $\mathcal{D}_i$ is the covariant derivative with respect to h_{ij}. In the above, we used the following equations;

$$n^iD_iV = \frac{V}{\rho}, \tag{36}$$

$$n^iD_i\rho = \rho k \tag{37}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} n^iD_ik &= -k_{ij}k^{ij} - \rho^{-1}\mathcal{D}^2\rho - {}^{(3)}R_{ij}n^in^j \\ &= -k_{ij}k^{ij} - \rho^{-1}\mathcal{D}^2\rho + \frac{\rho k - 4V}{(2V-1)\rho^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

For the derivation of the second equation, we used Eq. (30). For the third one, we used Eq. (31) and the formula

$$D_iD_jV = \frac{V}{\rho}\Big[k_{ij} - \frac{1}{\rho}(n_i\mathcal{D}_j\rho + n_j\mathcal{D}_i\rho) - n_in_j\Big(k - \frac{1}{\rho}\Big)\Big]. \tag{39}$$

This comes from the definition of the extrinsic curvature and Eq. (30).

Now we compute the curvature invariant $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$ to check the regularity of spacetime. It is written as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{\rho^4} + \frac{1}{(2V-1)^2\rho^2}\Big[\Big(2(1-V)k_{ij} - \frac{1}{\rho}h_{ij}\Big)^2 \\ &\quad + \Big(-2(1-V)k + \frac{1+2V}{\rho}\Big)^2 + \frac{8(1-V)^2}{\rho^2}(\mathcal{D}\rho)^2\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

In the above, we used the Einstein equation and Eq. (39).

As commented below Eq. (8), one needs a careful treatment at the surface of $V = 1/2$ ($\phi = \phi_p$). For the regularity of spacetimes at the surface of $V = 1/2$, we require the conditions

$$\mathcal{D}_i\rho|_{S_p} = 0 \tag{41}$$

and

$$k_{ij}|_{S_p} = \frac{1}{\rho_p} h_{ij}|_{S_p}. \tag{42}$$

Note that the index "p" indicates the evaluation at S_p, e.g. $\rho_p = \rho|_{S_p}$. These features tell us that S_p is totally umbilic and the photon surface defined in Ref. [6] [1] or photon sphere [9].

From Eqs. (32) and (39), the Kretschmann invariant can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \\ &= \frac{4}{V^2} D_iD_jV D^iD^jV + 4\,{}^{(3)}R_{ij}\,{}^{(3)}R^{ij} - ({}^{(3)}R)^2 \\ &= \frac{4}{\rho^2}\Big[k_{ij}k^{ij} + \frac{2}{\rho^2}(\mathcal{D}\rho)^2 + \Big(k - \frac{1}{\rho}\Big)^2\Big] \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{(2V-1)^2\rho^2}\Big[\Big(k_{ij} - \frac{1}{\rho}h_{ij}\Big)^2 + \frac{2}{\rho^2}(\mathcal{D}\rho)^2 + \Big(k - \frac{4V}{\rho}\Big)^2\Big] - \frac{4}{\rho^4}, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where we also used

$$R_{0i0j} = V D_iD_jV, \tag{44}$$

and

$${}^{(3)}R_{ij} = \frac{1}{(2V-1)\rho}\Big[k_{ij} - \frac{1}{\rho}h_{ij} - \frac{1}{\rho}(n_i\mathcal{D}_j\rho + n_j\mathcal{D}_i\rho) - n_in_j\Big(k - \frac{4V}{\rho}\Big)\Big]. \tag{45}$$

Thus, we can see that the Kretschmann invariant is finite in Ω when Eqs. (41) and (42) hold on S_p.

From now on, we focus on the region Ω in Σ which has the two boundaries, that is, S_p and the spatial infinity S_∞.

The volume integrations of Eqs. (33), (34) and (35) over Ω give us

$$A_p = 4\pi m\rho_p, \tag{46}$$

$$8\pi m^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}\rho_p^{1/2}\int_{S_p} {}^{(2)}R dS = -\int_{1/2}^{1} dV \frac{1}{V(2V-1)}\int_{S_v} dS\Big(\rho^{1/2}\tilde{k}_{ij}\tilde{k}^{ij} + \frac{1}{2\rho^{3/2}}(\mathcal{D}\rho)^2\Big) \tag{47}$$

and

$$8\pi m^{1/2} - \frac{4A_p}{\rho_p^{3/2}} = -\int_{1/2}^{1} dV \frac{(2V-1)}{V}\int_{S_v} dS\Big(\rho^{1/2}\tilde{k}_{ij}\tilde{k}^{ij} + \frac{1}{2\rho^{3/2}}(\mathcal{D}\rho)^2\Big), \tag{48}$$

respectively. A_p and ${}^{(2)}R$ are the area of S_p and the Ricci scalar of S_v, respectively. Equation (46) tells us that m is positive. In the left-hand side of Eq. (47), we used the fact of

$${}^{(2)}R = \frac{2}{\rho^2} + k^2 - k_{ij}k^{ij} + \frac{2(\rho k - 4V)}{(2V-1)\rho^2}. \tag{49}$$

In particular, on S_p, we have

$${}^{(2)}R_p = \lim_{V\to 1/2}\frac{2(\rho k - 2)}{(2V-1)\rho^2}. \tag{50}$$

---

[1] Although the theory we consider is different from that in Ref. [6], we can show that S_p is indeed the photon surface. In addition, we can easily see that the null geodesic initially tangent to $S_p \times \mathbf{R}_t$ remains to be tangent to $S_p \times \mathbf{R}_t$.

Then Eq. (47) shows us

$$16\pi m^{1/2} \leq \rho_p^{1/2} \int_{S_p} {}^{(2)}RdS. \tag{51}$$

Because of $m > 0$, inequality (51) and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem tell us that the topology of S_p is restricted to S^2(that is, $\int_{S_p} {}^{(2)}RdS = 8\pi$) and then we have the following inequality with the help of Eq. (46);

$$A_p \geq 16\pi m^2. \tag{52}$$

Using Eq. (46), Eq. (48) gives us the inequality

$$A_p \leq 16\pi m^2. \tag{53}$$

This may be regarded as a mimic of the Penrose inequality [10] for the photon surface.

Thus, we can conclude that the equality holds in inequalities (52) and (53), and then

$$\tilde{k}_{ij} = 0, \ \mathcal{D}_i\rho = 0. \tag{54}$$

Finally, we use the Codazzi equation,

$$\mathcal{D}_i k^i_j - \mathcal{D}_j k = {}^{(3)}R_{ik}n^i h^k_j, \tag{55}$$

to show that k is constant on each S_v. From Eq. (45), we see that the right-hand side vanishes. Using Eq. (54), we can have

$$\mathcal{D}_i k = 0. \tag{56}$$

Because of Eq. (49), we can see that ${}^{(2)}R$ is also constant on each S_v. In addition, inequality (51) tells us that ${}^{(2)}R$ is positive. Thus, we can conclude that the region of Ω in the spacetime is maximally symmetric with the positive curvature and then spherically symmetric. Thus, we could see that the spacetime in Ω is unique to be the BBMB solution because the regular spherically symmetric solutions have been shown to be unique in Ref. [11].

Note that what we could prove is only the uniqueness for outside region of the photon surface and we did not discuss the inside of the photon surface. Therefore, this proof does not mean the uniqueness of the whole region of the BBMB black hole spacetime.

VI. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we proved that, in the Einstein gravity with a conformally coupled scalar field, if a single closed surface S_p satisfying $(1 - \kappa\phi^2/6) = 0$ exists and if there is no horizon in the region Ω whose boundaries are only S_p and the spatial infinity, the geometry in Ω is the same as that outside of the BBMB photon surface. Since we employed Israel's way which can work for the proof of the uniqueness of single object, we cannot exclude the existence of multi-photon surface system. And we did not prove the uniqueness of the whole region of the BBMB black hole spacetime. We have no definite answer for the inside region of the photon surface. Accidentally, the uniqueness of the photon surfaces have been recently discussed for vacuum, electrovacuum and so on [7, 9, 12–14]. Therein, the existence of photon surface or photon sphere was assumed by hand. On the other hand, in our current study on the Einstein gravity with a conformally coupled scalar field, the existence of the photon surface is automatically required to make the spacetime regular.

There are many remaining works. If one may examine the possibility of cases having multi-photon surface, one must employ the another proof developed by Bunting and Masood-ul-Alam [15]. One may be also interested in the uniqueness issue for the inside region of the photon surface, that is, the region between the photon surface and the event horizon. Some extension of our proof into other non-vacuum cases should be addressed. Finally, we may have the Penrose inequality for a kind of the photon surface for *dynamical* systems in the Einstein gravity with conformally coupled scalar fields. This is because we could have a mimic of the Penrose inequality in our study.

Acknowledgments

This work is initiated by the collaboration with Mr. K. Ueda. T. S. is supported by Grant-Aid for Scientific Research from Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan (Nos. 25610055 and 16K05344).

[1] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **5**, 1239 (1972).
[2] C. A. R. Herdeiro and E. Radu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **24**, no. 09, 1542014 (2015).
[3] N. M. Bocharova, K. A. Bronnikov, and V. N. Melnikov, Vestn. Mosk. Univ. Fiz. Astron. **6**, 706 (1970).
[4] J. D. Bekenstein, Annals Phys. **82**, 535 (1974).
[5] W. Israel, Phys. Rev. **164**, 1776 (1967).
[6] C. M. Claudel, K. S. Virbhadra and G. F. R. Ellis, J. Math. Phys. **42**, 818 (2001)
[7] S. S. Yazadjiev, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 12, 123013 (2015).
[8] M. Heusler, *Black Hole Uniqueness Theorems*, (Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1996).
[9] C. Cederbaum, arXiv:1406.5475 [math.DG].
[10] R. Penrose, Annals N. Y. Acad. Sci. **224**, 125 (1973).
[11] B. C. Xanthopoulos and T. Zannias, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 1875 (1991).
[12] C. Cederbaum and G. J. Galloway, arXiv:1504.05804 [math.DG].
[13] C. Cederbaum and G. J. Galloway, Class. Quant. Grav. **33**, 075006 (2016).
[14] S. Yazadjiev and B. Lazov, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, 165021 (2015); M. Rogatko, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 6, 064003 (2016); H. Yoshino, arXiv:1607.07133 [gr-qc].
[15] G. L. Bunting and A. K. M. Masood-ul-Alam, Gen. Rel. Grav. **19**, 147 (1987).